

Central Coast Office 6/6 Morton Close, Tuggerah NSW 2259 Telephone: (02) 4351 6200 Facsimile: (02) 4351 6300 Email centralcoast@netgeo.com.au

G08/221-B VDS:KW 18th November 2008

Rustrum No 2 c/- Sterling Pty Ltd PO Box 142 CHATSWOOD NSW 2057

Attention: Terry Roche

Dear Sir

Re: Proposed Senior Living Development 222 Main Road, Toukley: Acid Sulphate Assessment, Preliminary Report.

This preliminary report presents the results of acid sulphate assessment carried out along with a geotechnical investigation.

Fieldwork was carried out on the 30th September and 1st October, 2008 and comprised of seven boreholes (BH1 to BH7). BH1 to BH4 was drilled using a truck mounted Ezi-probe drill rig in the upper portion. On the lower portion of the site, BH5 and BH6 were drilled to a termination depth of 3m using a skid steer mini drilling rig fitted with a 100mm diameter solid flight 'V-bit' auger drill. BH7 was carried out using portable push tube equipment to a termination depth of 1.8m.

The fieldwork was carried out by our geotechnical staff who selected the test locations, carried out in-situ testing and disturbed sampling, and prepared logs of boreholes on the soils encountered. The borehole logs are presented in appendix A and approximate test locations are shown on the attached Drawing No. G08/221-1.

Laboratory testing carries out on soil samples obtained during the investigation incidued the following:

- pH tests in H_2O (distilled water) to assess current addity;
- pH tests in H_2O_2 (hydrogen peroxide) to assess the potential for acid sulphate generation;
- Reduced Inorganic Sulphur (Scr) and Total Actual Acidity (TAA) to assess neutralising lime dosing requirement.

No. 222 Main Rd has a frontage of about 50m on the northern side of the road extending north about 70 to 80m to the rear of the site to the Southern shore of Tuggerah lake. The above proposed site has an area of about 1ha, with current site surface reduced levels ranging from about RL8-9m AHD along Main Rd to about RL1-2m AHD fronting the Lake shore (where localised acid sulphate assessment may be justified) with majority of site being RL9m-5m. A concrete driveway provides good

access to the lower portion of the site from the upper portion of the site which has been retained by a series of decrepit retaining walls.

The lower northern portion of the site is heavily grassed and the southern higher portion of site has grass and shrubbery. Surface soils encountered were Sandy Silt topsoil and Sandy silty Gravel fill in Parts.

Subsurface profiles encountered in the lower portion of the site (BH5 to BH7) comprised shallow (<0.2m) fill overlying clay and clayey sand Alluvium.

Fifteen soil samples were obtained from BH5, BH6 and BH7 for pH tests in water (H_2O) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) to assess for existing acidity and the potential for acid sulphate generation. Sampling depths ranged up to 3.0m below existing surface levels.

Current guidelines from the Acid Sulphate Soil Management Advisory Committee (ASSMAC 1998) list indicators of pH in water of less than 4 for actual acidity and pH in hydrogen peroxide of less than 3 for potential acidity.

None of the fifteen soil samples recorded pH <4. Four soil samples tested recorded pH <3 in H_2O_2 indicating a potential for producing acidity upon oxidation.

In view of the above, Sand and a Clay samples from BH5 at depths of 0.8m to 1m and 2.8m to 3m respectively, were forwarded to Environmental Analysis Laboratory of Southern Cross University Lismore to carry out Total Actual Acidity and Chromium Reducible sulphur tests. Test results are included in the attached table.

The reduced inorganic sulphur tests indicate that the medium textured alluvium has a Scr of less than 0.06% (0.003% to 0.007%) or 37molesH⁺/t and the fine textured CLAY has a Scr of less than 0.03% (0.003%) or 62molesH⁺/t.

It is therefore considered that no associated acid sulphate interventions are required during earthworks for the above proposed site improvement works if the volume of excavation in the area containing BH5, BH6 and BH7 is less than 1000t. If it is likely that more than 1000t of soil in the low lying area (area below RL 5.0m contour) is to be excavated the following management practices should be incorporated.

- Prior to excavation, facilities for stockpiling and treatment of acid sulphate soils should be established. Acid sulphate soil should be prevented form leaching prior to treatment.
- Excavated soil should be mixed with hydrated lime (neutralising capacity more than 95%) at a rate of 5kg/m³. Treated soil may be used as fill on site or disposed off site. If off site disposal is required, waste classification may be required.
- Lime treated soil should be tested for pH in water to assess that neutralisation is achieved. The treated soil should record pH>6.5 in water. Most of the measured acidity of site soil is existing actual acidity. Therefore, pH test in water would be sufficient to assess the effectiveness of treatment.

G08/221-B

An environmental consultant should be appointed to oversee the excavation treatment and testing. A validation report may be required by the Council after treatment.

The above recommendations should be the basis of an Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan (ASSMP) if such a plan is required by the Council. If the volume of soil to be excavated is limited to those from the proposed footing excavations and not more than 150m³ the above recommendations contained herein meet the requirements of ASSMP. The recommended works should be carried out under the direction of an environmental consultant and a validation report submitted at the completion of site works stating that acid sulphate solls have been treated and have undergone remediation treatment.

This report should be read in conjunction with the attached General Notes. Please contact the undersigned if you require further assistance.

G08/221-B

For and on behalf of Network Geotechnics Pty Ltd

encl

V W de Silva *Bsdeng, MEng, SMIE Aust, CPEng, NPER* Principal Geotechnical Engineer

> General Notes Results of Acid Sulfate Soil Analysis Drawing No G08/221-1 Site Plan



GENERAL NOTES

GENERAL

Geotechnical reports present the results of investigations carried out for a specific project and usually for a specific phase of the project (e.g. preliminary design). The report may not be relevant for other phases of the project (e.g. construction), or where project details change.

SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTIONS

Soli and rock descriptions are based on AS 1726 – 1993, using visual and tactile assessment except at discrete locations where field and / or laboratory tests have been carried out. Refer to the terms and symbols sheet for definitions.

GROUNDWATER

The water levels indicated on the logs are taken at the time of measurement and depending on material permeability may not reflect the actual groundwater level at those specific locations. Also, groundwater levels can vary with time due to seasonal or tidal fluctuations and construction activities.

INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

The discussion and recommendations in the accompanying report are based on extrapolation / Interpolation from data obtained at discrete locations. The actual interface between the materials may be far more gradual or abrupt than indicated. Also, actual conditions in areas not sampled may differ from those predicted.

CHANGE IN CONDITIONS

Subsurface conditions can change with time and can vary between test locations. Construction operations at or adjacent to the site and natural events such as floods, earthquakes or groundwater fluctuations can also affect subsurface conditions.

REPRODUCTION OF REPORTS

This report is the subject of copyright and shall not be reproduced either totally or in part without the express permission of this firm. Where information from the accompanying report is to be included in contract documents or engineering specification for the project, the entire report should be included in order to minimise the likelihood of misinterpretation from logs.

FURTHER ADVICE

Network Geotechnics would be pleased to further discuss how any of the above issues could affect your specific project. We would also be pleased to provide further advice or assistance including;

- assessment of sultability of designs and construction techniques;
- contract documentation and specification;
- oconstruction control testing (earthworks, pavement materials, concrete);
- construction advice (foundation assessments, excavation support).

June 1998

						<u>م</u>
LIME CALCULATION Chromium Suite	ka CaCOs/m ³ /molinidae 1.5. soferty Earthard	حسن اے	1 ന	,	Lat Accordination is seen and NGVAs a second series and NGVAs accordination and NGVAs accordination apprecimations with ISOTES 11 7025	
NET ACIDITY Chromium Suite	mole H ⁺ /tonne	note 5	22	53	B) B)	
Reduced Inorganic Sulfur	(Scr)	mole H /torne a- 22B	۲۵ (32	ique (Scr - Method 22 tly defaulted to 1.5) n some cases) cr≥0.1%S or 62molo	
Reduced Inorganic Sulfur	mole H*/tonne (% chromium reducible S) (to pH 6.5) //orte 2)	228	0.008	0.052	 NOTE: 1 - All analysis is Dry Weight (DW) - samples dried and ground immediately upon arrival (unless supplied dried and ground) 2 - Samples drive method 23 (is Suspension Peroxide Oxidation Combined Acidity is suffate) and 'Chronium Reducible Sulfur' technique (Scr - Method 22B) 2 - Samples drive method 23 (is Suspension Peroxide Oxidation Combined Acidity is a suffate) and 'Chronium Reducible Sulfur' technique (Scr - Method Sulfate Solis Laboratory Methods Guidelines. QLD DNRME. 3 - Mathods from Ancidity = Potential Sulfice Acidity (Institu bulk density is preferred) 5 - ABA Equation: Net Acidity = Potential Sulfice Acidity (Institu bulk density is preferred) 6 - The neutralising requirement, line calculation, includes a 1.5 safety margin for acid neutralisation (an increased asfety factor may be required in some cases) 6 - The neutralising requirement, line calculation, includes a 1.5 safety margin for acid neutralisation (an increased asfety factor may be required in some cases) 7 - For Texture coarse = sands to loany sands; medium = sandy loans to light days; fine = medium to heavy days and silty days 8	
Titratable Actual Acidity (TAA)	male H ⁺ /tonne (to pH 6.5)	23F	17	21	lied dried and grou sulfate) and 'Chror <i>s Guidelines.</i> QLD C) d Acidity - measur (an increased saf i to heavy clays an to heavy clays and to heavy clays and the heavy clays and the	
TAA	pHke	234	5.02	4.58	ss supp sidity & . <i>Method</i> referred alisation antly not apply (r apply (r	
Lab. Bulk Density	₫		1.6	1.6	y upon arrival (unle lation Combined Ac e Soils Laboratory tu bulk density is p + Actual Acidity + argin for acid neutu o light clays; fine = segments are curre on purposes. ion guideline would 3%S or 19mole H	
Moisture Content	(% moisture)			21.3	und immediate und immediate aboratory (insi laboratory (insi ie. Scrs or Sox) a 1.5 safety m a 1	
Texture	(note R)	6 <u>85 8</u>	Medium	Fine	ried and grc van LA (20) n arrival to arrival to on, includes s; medium = baced with li, the ≥0.0; iterial if: cc	
EAL	code	All some states and stat	0.8-1.0 A0561/1 Medium	A0561/2	- samples d nethod 23 (j ea AE , Sulli mediately o ttential Sulfu ne calculati loamy sand equired are NATA o are rel nimits are rel onnes of so sulfate ma	
Depth	Ê		0.8-1.0	2.8-3.0 A0561/2	Neight (DW) by SPOCAS n m, CR, McEln etermined ir etermined ir e = sands to squirement, li e = sands to squested or r A and ANC w detection I urb >1000 t tential acid	
Sample Site		Method No.	BH 5	BH 5	 NOTE: 1 - All analysis is Dry Weight (DW) - samples dried and ground immediately upon arrival (unless supplied dried and ground) 2 - Samples analysed by SPOCAS method 23 (ie Suspension Peroxide Oxidation Combined Acidity & suffate) and 'Chromium Reduci 3 - Methods from Ahern, CR, McElnea AE, Sullivan LA (2004). <i>Acid Sulfate Solis Laboratory Methods Guidelines</i>. QLD DNRME. 3 - Bulk density was determined immediately on arrival to laboratory (insitu bulk density is preferred) 5 - ABA Equation: Net Acidity = Potential Sulfidic Acidity (ie. Scrs or Sox) + Actual Acidity + Retained Acidity - measured ANC/FF 5 - ABA Equation: Net Acidity = Potential Sulfidic Acidity (ie. Scrs or Sox) + Actual Acidity + Retained Acidity - measured ANC/FF 5 - ABA Equation: Net Acidity = Potential Sulfidic Acidity (ie. Scrs or Sox) + Actual Acidity + Retained Acidity - measured ANC/FF 5 - ABA Equation: Net Acidity = Potential Sulfidic Acidity (ie. Scrs or Sox) + Actual Acidity + Retained Acidity - measured ANC/FF 6 - The neutralising requirement, lime calculation, includes a 1.5 safety margin for acid neutralisation (an increased safety factor m 7 - For Texture: coarse = sands to loamy sands; medium = sandy loams to light clays; fine = medium to heavy clays and silty clays 8 denotes not requested or required 9 - SCRENING, CRS, TAA and ANC are NATA cartification 10 - Results at or below detection limits are replaced with '0' for calculation purposes. 11 - Projects that disturb > 1000 tornes of soil, the 20.03% S classification guideline would apply (refer to acid sulfate manageme (Classification of potential acid sulfate material if: coarse Scr20.03%S or 19mole H+/t; medium Scr20.06%S or 37mo 	

RESULTS OF ACID SULFATE SOIL ANALYSIS (Page 1 of 1)

2 samples supplied by Network Geotechnics Pty Ltd on 10th October, 2008 - Lab. Job No. A0561

Analysis requested by Steve Thorley. - Your Project: 608/221

Report Page 2 of 2

checked:

